1	BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE & AZ	XELROD LLP		
2	Robert W. Turken ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Scott N. Wagner ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )			
3	Lori P. Lustrin (pro hac vice)			
4	Shalia M. Sakona ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Jerry R. Goldsmith ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )			
	Ilana A. Drescher (pro hac vice)			
5	1450 Brickell Avenue Suite 2300			
6	Miami, FL 33131-3456			
7	Telephone: (305) 374-7580 Email: rturken@bilzin.com			
8	Email: swagner@bilzin.com Email: llustrin@bilzin.com			
9	Email: ssakona@bilzin.com			
10	Email: jgoldsmith@bilzin.com Email: idrescher@bilzin.com			
11	Attorneys for Benchmark Electronics			
12				
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15				
		I		
16	In re Capacitors Antitrust Litigation	Case No. 17-md-02801-JD		
17		-		
18	This document relates to:	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED		
19	Benchmark Electronics, Inc. et al. v. AVX Corp. et al., Case No. 17-cv-7047-JD	ORDER OF DISMISSAL		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	Pla
2	Benchman
3	Manufacti
4	Tijuana,
5	(collective
6	North Am
7	the "Pana
8	41(a)(2) o
9	action wit

aintiffs Benchmark Electronics, Inc., Benchmark Electronics Huntsville, Inc., k Electronics Manufacturing Solutions (Moorpark), Inc., Benchmark Electronics uring Solutions, Inc., Benchmark Electronics Phoenix, Inc., Benchmark Electronics S. de R.L. de C.V. and Benchmark Electronics de Mexico S. de R.L. de C.V., ely, "Benchmark") and Defendants Panasonic Corporation; Panasonic Corporation of nerica; SANYO Electric Co., Ltd.; SANYO North America Corporation (collectively, sonic and Sanyo Defendants"), by and through undersigned counsel, pursuant to Rule of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby stipulate to the dismissal of the present th prejudice and state as follows:

- 1. Benchmark and the Panasonic and Sanyo Defendants seek the dismissal of this action against the Panasonic and Sanyo Defendants with prejudice.
- 2. Benchmark and the Panasonic and Sanyo Defendants agree that each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees in connection with these actions.
- 3. This stipulation does not affect the rights or claims of Benchmark against any other defendant or alleged co-conspirator in this litigation.

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court issue an Order of Dismissal With Prejudice against the Panasonic and Sanyo Defendants only.

18

21

22

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## 19

/s/ Scott N. Wagner

Robert W. Turken (admitted pro hac vice) 20 Scott N. Wagner (admitted *pro hac vice*) Lori P. Lustrin (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Shalia M. Sakona (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Jerry R. Goldsmith (admitted pro hac vice) Ilana A. Drescher (admitted *pro hac vice*)

## **BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE &** 23 AXELROD LLP

24 1450 Brickell Ave., Suite 2300

> Miami, Florida 33131-3456 Telephone: 305-374-7580

rturken@bilzin.com 26 swagner@bilzin.com

27 llustrin@bilzin.com ssakona@bilzin.com

## /s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler

Jeffrey L. Kessler (admitted pro hac vice) jkessler@winston.com

A. Paul Victor (admitted *pro hac vice*)

pvictor@winston.com

Molly M. Donovan (admitted pro hac vice)

mmdonovan@winston.com

Sofia Arguello (admitted *pro hac vice*)

sarguello@winston.com

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166 Telephone: (212) 294-4698

Facsimile: (212) 294-4700

Ian L. Papendick (SBN 275648)

## Case 3:17-md-02801-JD Document 519 Filed 04/04/19 Page 3 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	jgoldsmith@bilzin.com idrescher@bilzin.com  Counsel for Benchmark Electronics	ipapendick@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 California Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 591-6905 Fax: (415) 591-1400  Counsel for Defendants Panasonic Corporation; Panasonic Corporation of North America; SANYO Electric Co., Ltd.; SANYO North America Corporation	
9	ECF ATTESTATION		
10	I, Scott N. Wagner, an ECF User v	whose ID and Password are being used to file	
11	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL.		
12	In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1, I hereby attest that counsel for the Panasonic		
13	and Sanyo Defendants has concurred in this filing.		
14			
15	DATED: April 4, 2019		
16		By: /s/ Scott N. Wagner	
17		Scott N. Wagner	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>			
28			
20			

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	$\mathcal{A}$
3	Dated: May 1, 2019
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28